

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,237	08/29/2001	Denis H. Endisch	H0001273 (4780)	9386
34284	7590 03/25/2003			
	. FISH; RUTAN & TU	EXAMINER		
	BLVD., 14TH FLOOR	GUERRERO, MARIA F		
COSTA MES	SA, CA 92628-1950		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2822	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Office Action Summary		09/943,237	ENDISCH ET AL.	•
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Maria Guerrero	2822	
Period fo		ication appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply	of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 15 from the mailing date of this communication NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on <u>21 <i>January</i> 2003</u> .		
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Disposiți	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praction of Claims	n for allowance except for formal matte tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits 11, 453 O.G. 213.	is
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the	application		
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are	• •		
	Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are allowed.	William William Consideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>12-19</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
<i>'</i> —	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requirement		
	on Papers	tion and/or election requirement.	•	
9)[The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
•		ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand		
11) 🔲 -		d on is: a) approved b) disa	` '	
	If approved, corrected drawings are rec	quired in reply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority	documents have been received in App	lication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Interns	of the priority documents have been re ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In for a list of the certified copies not re	ceived in this National Stage	
14) <u></u> A	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional applicat	ion).
a	☐ The translation of the foreign lan	guage provisional application has bee or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	n received.	/ ·
Attachment	•	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) 5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No.	14

Application/Control Number: 09/943,237 Page 2

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Request for Continued Examination, the Amendment and the Terminal Disclaimer filed January 21, 2003.

Claims 21-23 are canceled.

Claims 1-20 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election of Group II (claims 12-23) in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 1-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 12-14 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner et al. (U.S. 6,194,283) in view of Fulford, Jr. et al. (U.S. 6,008,109).

Art Unit: 2822

Gardner et al. teaches forming a trench in a substrate having a surface, depositing a first compound (methylsilsesquioxane, hydrogen silsesquioxane) into the trench, partially removing the first compound from the trench to be below the surface of the substrate, depositing a second compound onto the first compound by chemical vapor deposition (Fig. 5-7B, col. 3, lines 15-37, col. 5, lines 30-40, 53-67, col. 6, lines 1-5). Gardner et al. discloses a thermal oxide coat on the trench, removing the first compound from the trench by a dry or wet etch process (col. 5, lines 20-21, 64-67). Gardner et al. teaches planarizing to form the upper surface of the second compound substantially coplanar with the surface of the substrate (Fig. 9, col. 6, lines 15-32).

Gardner et al. is silent about using spin-on deposition. However, Fulford, Jr. et al. teaches forming a trench in a substrate having a surface, depositing a first compound (methylsilsesquioxane) into the trench using spin-on deposition (Fig. 5-8a, 10, col. 7, lines 4-50). Fulford, Jr. et al. teaches a thermal oxide coat on the trench and the second compound being formed from silane (col. 6, lines 15-18, col. 7, lines 25-35).

Since Gardner et al. and Fulford, Jr. et al. are both from the same field of endeavor of forming isolation structures; the purpose disclosed by Fulford, Jr. et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Gardner et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Gardner et al. reference by specifying the deposition of the first compound by spin-on deposition as taught Fulford, Jr. et al. The modification is proper because both references employed the same compounds as the first

Application/Control Number: 09/943,237

Art Unit: 2822

compound on the trench (Fulford, Jr. et al., col. 7, lines 9-15; Gardner et al., col. 5, lines 30-40).

4. Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gardner et al. (U.S. 6,194,283) and Fulford, Jr. et al. (U.S. 6,008,109) as applied to claims 12-14 and 17-19 above, and further in view of Koyanagi (U.S. 6,191,002).

Regarding claims 15-16, Gardner et al. discloses the first compound being an oxide and the trench having an aspect ratio greater than 0.8 (col. 5, lines 30-35, col. 8, lines 10-13).

The combination of Gardner et al. and Fulford, Jr. et al. fails to show curing the first compound to form an oxide, the aspect ratio being no less than 5. However, Koyanagi shows spin coating silicon containing material on the trench and curing the silicon containing material to form the oxide. Koyanagi also teaches the trench having the aspect ratio of 5 (col. 7, lines 60-63, col. 8, lines 1-20, col. 9, lines 20-25, col. 12, lines 15-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the step of curing the first compound to form the oxide and to use the aspect ratio of 5 as taught Koyanagi. The modification would prevent voids, cracks, and depressions in the isolation structure (Koyanagi, col. 4, lines 50-55; Gardner et al., col. 1, lines 8-10).

Application/Control Number: 09/943,237

Art Unit: 2822

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 20 is allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Endisch et al. (U.S. 6,140,254) has been removed as prior art in view of the Declaration and the Terminal disclaimer filed January 21, 2003.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maria Guerrero whose telephone number is 703-305-0162.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 2822

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Maria Guerrero
Patent Examiner
March 21, 2003